MATH3210 - SPRING 2024 - SECTION 004

HOMEWORK 6 - SOLUTIONS

Let f be real-valued function whose domain is a subset of the real numbers. We say that f is L-Lipschitz if for every pair of points x, y in the domain of f, $|f(x) - f(y)| \le L |x - y|$.

Problem 1 (80 points). Prove or find a counterexample for each:

- (a) If f is uniformly continuous, then f is L-Lipschitz for some L > 0
- (b) If f is L-Lipschitz for some L > 0, then f is uniformly continuous
- (c) If f and g are L-Lipschitz, then there exists an L' such that f + g is L'-Lipschitz
- (d) If f is L-Lipschitz, then there exists some L' such that $g(x) := f(x)^2$ is L'-Lipschitz

Solution.

(a) This is **false**. The function $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ on [0,1] must be uniformly continuous, since it is continuous on a compact interval. However it is not *L*-Lipschitz for any *L*. Indeed, fix L > 0. Then if x = 0 and $y = 1/(2L)^2$,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = \left| 0 - \sqrt{1/(2L)^2} \right| = 1/(2L) = 2L \left| 0 - 1/(2L)^2 \right| > L \left| x - y \right|.$$

Hence, \sqrt{x} is not *L*-Lipschitz.

(b) This is **true**. Let f be L-Lipschitz, and fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Set $\delta = \varepsilon/L$. Then if $|x - y| < \delta$,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le L |x - y| < L \cdot \varepsilon/L = \varepsilon.$$

Hence f is uniformly continuous.

(c) This is **true**. We claim that if f and g are L-Lipschitz then f + g is 2L-Lipschitz. Fix x and y in the domain of f and g. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |(f+g)(x) - (f+g)(y)| &= |f(x) - f(y) + g(x) - g(y)| \\ &\leq |f(x) - f(y)| + |g(x) - g(y)| \leq L |x-y| + L |x-y| = 2L |x-y|. \end{aligned}$$

(d) This is **false**. Consider f(x) = x defined on \mathbb{R} . Then f is 1-Lipschitz since $|f(x) - f(y)| = 1 \cdot |x - y|$. But $g(x) = x^2$ is not L-Lipschitz for any L, since if x = L and y = L + 1, then

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = \left| (L+1)^2 - L^2 \right| = 2L + 1 > L \cdot 1 = L |x - y|.$$

Problem 2 (20 points). Show that if $f : [a, b] \to [c, d]$ is continuous and has an inverse, then either f is increasing or f is decreasing.

Solution. Assume that f is continuous and has an inverse. Then there exists a unique $x \in [a, b]$ such that f(x) = c. We claim that either x = a or x = b. Indeed, assume for a contradiction that a < x < b. Then f(a) and f(b) are both greater than c, since only one element of [a, b] can map to c. Choose some z such that $c < z < \min \{f(a), f(b)\}$. By the intermediate value theorem, there exists $y_1 \in (a, x)$ such that $f(y_1) = z$ and $y_2 \in (x, b)$ such that $f(y_2) = z$. Thus, f is not invertible, so either f(a) = c or f(b) = c.

In the case that f(a) = c, we claim that f is increasing. We again proceed by contradiction. Assume there exists $a \le x < y$ such that f(x) > f(y). Choose some z such that f(y) < z < f(x). Then since $f(a) = c \le f(x)$, by the intermediate value theorem applied of f on [a, x], there exists $y_1 \in (a,x)$ such that $f(y_1) = z$. Similarly, there exists $y_2 \in (x,y)$ such that $f(y_2) = z$. This is a contradiction to the invertibility of f. A parallel argument works when f(a) = d, in which case we conclude that f is decreasing.